



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/340,720	06/29/1999	Daisaburo Takashima	0039-7271-2S	4269

22850 7590 11/18/2002

OBLON SPIVAK MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT PC
FOURTH FLOOR
1755 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY
ARLINGTON, VA 22202

EXAMINER

DICKEY, THOMAS L

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2826

DATE MAILED: 11/18/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/340,720

Applicant(s)

TAKASHIMA ET AL.

Examiner

Thomas L Dickey

Art Unit

2826

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 October 2002.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 12 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 29 June 1999 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 13.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other:

Art Unit: 2826

DETAILED ACTION

1. The amendment filed on 08/06/02 has been entered.

Priority

2. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The Information Disclosure Statement filed on 10/11/02 has been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by KATOH (6,087,687).

With regard to claim 1, Katoh discloses a semiconductor device comprising: a channel 126 of a first conductivity type formed on a surface layer of a semiconductor substrate, a source 105 and a drain 106 of a second conductivity type

Art Unit: 2826

formed on both sides of the channel 126, a gate insulation film 128 with a first relative permittivity, that of bismuth titanate, formed at least on the channel 126 directly, a gate electrode 130 formed on the gate insulation film 128, and a side insulation film 129a and 129b formed at least on a side of the gate insulation film 128 and having a second relative permittivity, that of silicon dioxide, which is smaller than the first relative permittivity, wherein when a first area of the gate insulation film 128 adjacent to the gate electrode 130 is S1, a second area of the gate insulating film adjacent to the channel 126 is S2, and a third area of a bottom part of the gate electrode 130 is S3, the area S1 is larger than the area S2, the area S3 is larger than the area S1, a part of the third area S3 is connected to the gate insulating film, and the other part of the third area S3 is not connected to the gate insulating film. Note figures 1 and 2 and column 1 lines 45-67 and column 2 lines 1-28 of Katoh.

With regard to claim 9, Katoh discloses a semiconductor device comprising: a channel 126 of a first conductivity type formed on a surface layer of a semiconductor substrate, a source 105 and a drain 106 of a second conductivity type formed on both sides of the channel 126, a gate insulation film 128 with a first relative permittivity formed at least on the channel 126 directly or through a buffer insulation film, a gate electrode 130 formed on the gate insulation film 128, and a side insulation film 129a and 129b formed at least on a side of the gate insulation film 128 and having a second relative permittivity, that of silicon dioxide, which is smaller than the first relative permittivity, wherein an electric flux density in the

Art Unit: 2826

gate insulation film 128 on a side towards the channel 126 side is more dense than an electric flux density in the gate insulation film 128 on a side towards the gate electrode 130, and an area of a bottom part of the gate electrode 130 is larger than an area of an upper part of the gate insulation film 128. Based on applicant's disclosure, the fact that the device disclosed by Katoh has an electric flux density in the gate insulation film 128 on a side towards the channel 126 side is more dense than an electric flux density in the gate insulation film 128 on a side towards the gate electrode 130 is considered **inherent** in the fact that the disclosed device has gate insulation film 128, side insulation film 129a and 129b, and gate electrode 130 that are shaped so that a first area of the gate insulation film 128 adjacent to the gate electrode 130 is S1, a second area of the gate insulating film adjacent to the channel 126 is S2, and a third area of a bottom part of the gate electrode 130 is S3, the area S1 is larger than the area S2, the area S3 is larger than the area S1. Note figures 1 and 2 and column 1 lines 45-67 and column 2 lines 1-28 of Katoh.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2826

A. Claims 2,4-8, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over KRIVOKAPIC et al. (6,100,558) in view of KATOH (6,087,687).

Krivokapic et al. discloses a MOSFET having high and low dielectric materials wherein a channel (no part #) of a first conductive type formed on a surface layer of a semiconductor substrate 12, a source 34 and a drain 34 of a second conductive type formed on both sides of the channel, a gate insulation film 210 with a first relative permittivity formed at least on the channel directly or through buffer insulation film 40, a gate electrode 220 formed on the gate insulation film 210, a side insulation film 194 (alternatively 196) formed at least on a side of the gate insulation film and having a second relative permittivity which is smaller than the first relative permittivity, wherein an area of the gate insulation film 210 adjacent to the interface between the gate insulation film 210 and the gate electrode 220 is larger than an area thereof which is adjacent to the interface between the gate insulation film 210 and the channel, wherein the first gate insulation film 210 is a high dielectric film or a ferroelectric film including a composition or an element of one of Ta_2O_5 , $Sr_2Ta_2O_7$, TiO_2 , $SrTiO_3$, $BaTiO_3$, $CaTiO_3$, $Ba_xSr_{1-x}TiO_3$, $PbTiO_3$, $PbZr_xTi_{1-x}O_3$, $SrBi_2Ta_2O_9$, $SrBi_2(Ta_xNb_{1-x})_2O_9$, or $Bi_2(Ta_xNb_{1-x})O_6$, namely TiO_2 or $SrTiO_3$, so that the first permittivity is 20 or more, the width of the gate insulation film 210 on the channel side is smaller than the width of the gate insulation film on the gate electrode 220 side in a length along a channel width direction of the gate insulation film 220, a sectional shape of the gate insulation film 210 along a direction of the source-drain is one of tapered shape, a trapezoid, a sec-

Art Unit: 2826

tor, and a stair, namely, a rectangle (i.e. a type of trapezoid) a sectional shape along a direction of the source-drain of the gate insulation film 210 from the gate electrode to a predetermined line (claim reads "the predetermined distance") on the channel side is a rectangle, and the just mentioned sectional shape is one of a tapered shape, a trapezoid, a sector, and a stair, namely, a rectangle (i.e. a type of trapezoid), the buffer insulation film includes one of SiO_2 , Si_3N_4 , N_2O , TiO_2 , SrTiO_3 , MgO or CeO_2 , namely, SiO_2 or Si_3N_4 . Note col. 8, lines 5 and 8, and figure 20 of Krivokapic et al. Further, Krivokapic et al. discloses that an area of a bottom part of said gate electrode 220 in contact with the gate insulation film 210 is larger than an area of an upper part of said gate insulation film 210. Note figure 20 of Krivokapic et al. Krivokapic et al. does not disclose that when a first area of said gate insulation film adjacent to said gate electrode is S1, a second area of said gate insulating film adjacent to said channel is S2, and a third area of a bottom part of said gate electrode is S3, the area S1 is larger than the area S2, the area S3 is larger than the area S1, a part of the third area S3 is connected to said gate insulating film, and the other part of the third area S3 is not connected to said Gate insulating film.

However, Katoh discloses a semiconductor device having a channel 126, gate electrode 130 and gate insulating film 128, wherein when a first area of said gate insulation film adjacent to said gate electrode is S1, a second area of said gate insulating film adjacent to said channel is S2, and a third area of a bottom part of said gate electrode is S3, the area S1 is larger than the area S2, the area

Art Unit: 2826

S3 is larger than the area S 1, a part of the third area S3 is connected to said gate insulating film, and the other part of the third area S3 is not connected to said gate insulating film. Note figures 1 and 2 and column 1 lines 45-67 and column 2 lines 1-28 of Katoh. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having skill in the art to shape the channel, gate and gate insulators of Krivokapic et al.'s MOSFET in accordance with the shapes of the channel, gate and gate insulators such as taught by Katoh in order to concentrate the electric field produced by the gate to thus provide a way to run the non-volatile memory device of Krivokapic et al. with a lower gate voltage.

With regard to claim 11, this claim requires a first plurality of devices, individual ones of said first plurality of devices described in a manner identical to devices described in claim 2 and also by Krivokapic et al. and Katoh, and a second plurality of devices, individual ones of said second plurality of devices being identical in all but one respect to individual ones of said first plurality of devices, said individual ones of said second plurality of devices likewise described in a manner identical to devices described in claim 2 and also by Krivokapic et al. and Katoh. There is the additional limitation that top/bottom ratios of gate insulation films in single ones of the first plurality of devices be greater than top/bottom ratios of gate insulation films in single ones of the second plurality of devices. As claimed, individual ones of the first and second pluralities are otherwise identical. It is considered that it would have been obvious to a person having skill in the art to reproduce a given plurality of Krivokapic et al. and Katoh's MOSFET device in or-

Art Unit: 2826

der to provide a plurality of devices with lower gate capacitances to thus densely pack a large number (at least two pluralities) of such devices on one chip. Given at least some manufacturing tolerance in top/bottom ratios of gate insulation films in these devices, a first sub-plurality of said given plurality will have larger than average top/bottom ratios of gate insulation films, a second sub-plurality will have smaller than average top/bottom ratios of gate insulation films, and individual ones of the larger than average devices will be larger than individual ones of the smaller than average devices. It should be noted that claims 10 and 11 require that the 1st and 2nd pluralities be present but not that they be sorted out or arranged in any way.

B. Claims 3 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over KATOH (6,087,687).

With regard to claim 3, Katoh discloses all the elements of claim 3 except that area (S1, per claim) of the gate insulation film 128 adjacent to the interface between the gate insulation film 128 and the gate electrode 130 is 1.5 times larger than an area (S2, per claim) thereof which is adjacent to the interface between the gate insulation film 210 and the channel. Note figures 1 and 2 and column 1 lines 45-67 and column 2 lines 1-28 of Katoh. Although Katoh's device does not teach the exact ratio of areas as that claimed by Applicant, the width differences are considered obvious design choices and are not patentable unless unobvious or unexpected results are obtained from these changes. It appears that these

Art Unit: 2826

changes produce no functional differences and therefore would have been obvious. Note *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

With regard to claim 10, this claim requires a first plurality of devices, individual ones of said first plurality of devices described in a manner identical to devices described in claim 1 and also by Katoh, and a second plurality of devices, individual ones of said second plurality of devices being identical in all but one respect to individual ones of said first plurality of devices, said individual ones of said second plurality of devices likewise described in a manner identical to devices described in claim 1 and also by Katoh. There is the additional limitation that top/bottom ratios of gate insulation films in single ones of the first plurality of devices be greater than top/bottom ratios of gate insulation films in single ones of the second plurality of devices. As claimed, individual ones of the first and second pluralities are otherwise identical. It is considered that it would have been obvious to a person having skill in the art to reproduce a given plurality of Katoh's MOSFET device in order to provide a plurality of devices with lower gate capacitances to thus densely pack a large number (at least two pluralities) of such devices on one chip. Given at least some manufacturing tolerance in top/bottom ratios of gate insulation films in these devices, a first sub-plurality of said given plurality will have larger than average top/bottom ratios of gate insulation films, a second sub-plurality will have smaller than average top/bottom ratios of gate insulation films, and individual ones of the larger than average devices will be larger than individual ones of the smaller than average devices. It should be noted

Art Unit: 2826

that claims 10 and 11 require that the 1st and 2nd pluralities be present but not that they be sorted out or arranged in any way.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claim 12 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims, because none of these references disclosed or can be combined to yield the claimed invention such as a semiconductor device comprising: a plurality of first MOS transistors, each of the first MOS transistors including a first channel of a first conductivity type formed on a surface layer of a semiconductor substrate, a first source and a first drain of a second conductivity type formed to both sides of the first channel, a first gate insulation film with a first relative permittivity formed at least on the first channel directly or through a buffer insulation film, a first gate electrode formed on the first gate insulation film, and a first side insulation film formed at least on side of the first gate insulation film and having a second relative permittivity which is smaller than the first relative permittivity; and a plurality of second MOS transistors, each of the second MOS transistors including, a second channel of the first conductivity type formed on a surface layer of the substrate, a second source and a second drain of the second conductivity type formed on both sides of the second channel, a second gate insulation film with the first relative permittivity formed at least on the second channel directly or through a buffer insulation film, a second

Art Unit: 2826

gate electrode formed on the second gate insulation film, and a second side insulation film formed at least on side of the second gate insulation film and having the second relative permittivity, wherein, when a cross-section on a side of the first channel S 1, a cross-section on a side of the first gate electrode is S2, a cross-section on a side of the second channel S3, and a cross-section on a side of the second gate insulation film is S4, a condition of: $S2/S1 > S4/S3$ is satisfied, and an area of a bottom part of the first gate electrode in contact with the first gate insulation film is larger than an area of an upper part of the first gate insulation film, and an area of a bottom part of the second gate electrode in contact with the second gate insulation film is larger than an area of an upper part of the second gate insulation film, and wherein a voltage applied to the first gate electrode is lower than a voltage applied to the second gate electrode as recited in claim 12.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 08/06/02 with respect to claims 1-12 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas L Dickey whose telephone number is 703-308-0980. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

Art Unit: 2826

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan J. Flynn can be reached on (703) 308-6601. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9319 for regular communications and 703-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

TLD
10/2002


Minh Loan Tran
Primary Examiner